



**Methods for Testing and Specification (MTS);  
The Test Description Language (TDL);  
Part 8: Textual Syntax**

Reference
RES/MTS-TDL1
Keywords
language, MBT, methodology, testing, TSS&TP, TTCN-3, UML

***ETSI***

650 Route des Lucioles  
F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - APE 7112B  
Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la  
Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° w061004871

***Important notice***

The present document can be downloaded from:  
<https://www.etsi.org/standards-search>

The present document may be made available in electronic versions and/or in print. The content of any electronic and/or print versions of the present document shall not be modified without the prior written authorization of ETSI. In case of any existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions and/or in print, the prevailing version of an ETSI deliverable is the one made publicly available in PDF format at [www.etsi.org/deliver](https://www.etsi.org/deliver).

Users of the present document should be aware that the document may be subject to revision or change of status.

Information on the current status of this and other ETSI documents is available at  
<https://portal.etsi.org/TB/ETSIDeliverableStatus.aspx>

If you find errors in the present document, please send your comment to one of the following services:  
<https://portal.etsi.org/People/CommitteeSupportStaff.aspx>

If you find a security vulnerability in the present document, please report it through our  
 Coordinated Vulnerability Disclosure Program:  
<https://www.etsi.org/standards/coordinated-vulnerability-disclosure>

***Notice of disclaimer & limitation of liability***

The information provided in the present deliverable is directed solely to professionals who have the appropriate degree of experience to understand and interpret its content in accordance with generally accepted engineering or other professional standard and applicable regulations.

No recommendation as to products and services or vendors is made or should be implied.

No representation or warranty is made that this deliverable is technically accurate or sufficient or conforms to any law and/or governmental rule and/or regulation and further, no representation or warranty is made of merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose or against infringement of intellectual property rights.

In no event shall ETSI be held liable for loss of profits or any other incidental or consequential damages.

Any software contained in this deliverable is provided "AS IS" with no warranties, express or implied, including but not limited to, the warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose and non-infringement of intellectual property rights and ETSI shall not be held liable in any event for any damages whatsoever (including, without limitation, damages for loss of profits, business interruption, loss of information, or any other pecuniary loss) arising out of or related to the use or inability to use the software.

***Copyright Notification***

No part may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm except as authorized by written permission of ETSI.

The content of the PDF version shall not be modified without the written authorization of ETSI.  
 The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© ETSI 2023.  
All rights reserved.

---

## Contents

Intellectual Property Rights .....	6
Foreword.....	6
Modal verbs terminology.....	6
1 Scope .....	7
2 References .....	7
2.1 Normative references .....	7
2.2 Informative references.....	7
3 Definition of terms, symbols and abbreviations.....	8
3.1 Terms.....	8
3.2 Symbols.....	8
3.3 Abbreviations .....	8
4 Basic principles .....	9
4.1 Introduction .....	9
4.2 Document Structure.....	9
4.3 Grammar Language.....	9
4.3.1 Overview .....	9
4.3.2 Operators .....	10
4.3.3 Terminal rules and keywords.....	10
4.3.4 Production rules .....	10
4.4 Conformance .....	11
5 General rules .....	11
5.1 Identities and references .....	11
5.2 Models and importing .....	11
5.3 Linking .....	12
5.4 Alternative syntaxes .....	12
5.5 Terminals.....	12
5.6 File format .....	14
6 Production Rules .....	14
6.1 Foundation.....	14
6.1.1 Element .....	14
6.1.2 NamedElement .....	15
6.1.3 ElementImport .....	15
6.1.4 Package .....	15
6.1.5 PackageableElement .....	16
6.1.6 Comment .....	16
6.1.7 Annotation .....	17
6.1.8 AnnotationType .....	17
6.1.9 TestObjective.....	18
6.1.10 Extension .....	18
6.1.11 ConstraintType .....	18
6.1.12 Constraint.....	19
6.2 Data .....	19
6.2.1 DataResourceMapping.....	19
6.2.2 DataElementMapping .....	19
6.2.3 ParameterMapping.....	20
6.2.4 DataType .....	20
6.2.5 SimpleDataType .....	20
6.2.6 SimpleDataInstance .....	21
6.2.7 StructuredDataType .....	21
6.2.8 Member.....	22
6.2.9 StructuredDataInstance .....	22
6.2.10 MemberAssignment.....	22
6.2.11 CollectionDataType .....	23

6.2.12	CollectionDataInstance .....	23
6.2.13	ProcedureSignature .....	23
6.2.14	ProcedureParameter .....	24
6.2.15	ParameterKind .....	24
6.2.16	Parameter .....	24
6.2.17	FormalParameter .....	25
6.2.18	Variable .....	25
6.2.19	Action .....	25
6.2.20	Function .....	26
6.2.21	UnassignedMemberTreatment .....	26
6.2.22	PredefinedFunction .....	26
6.2.23	EnumDataType .....	27
6.2.24	DataUse .....	27
6.2.25	ParameterBinding .....	28
6.2.26	MemberReference .....	28
6.2.27	StaticDataUse .....	28
6.2.28	DataInstanceUse .....	29
6.2.29	SpecialValueUse .....	30
6.2.30	AnyValue .....	30
6.2.31	AnyValueOrOmit .....	30
6.2.32	OmitValue .....	30
6.2.33	LiteralValueUse .....	31
6.2.34	DynamicDataUse .....	31
6.2.35	FunctionCall .....	32
6.2.36	FormalParameterUse .....	32
6.2.37	VariableUse .....	32
6.2.38	PredefinedFunctionCall .....	33
6.2.39	DataElementUse .....	33
6.3	Time .....	34
6.3.1	Time .....	34
6.3.2	TimeLabel .....	34
6.3.3	TimeLabelUse .....	35
6.3.4	TimeLabelUseKind .....	35
6.3.5	TimeConstraint .....	35
6.3.6	Timer .....	35
6.3.7	TimeOperation .....	36
6.3.8	Wait .....	36
6.3.9	Quiescence .....	36
6.3.10	TimerOperation .....	37
6.3.11	TimerStart .....	37
6.3.12	TimerStop .....	37
6.3.13	TimeOut .....	38
6.4	Test Configuration .....	38
6.4.1	GateType .....	38
6.4.2	GateTypeKind .....	38
6.4.3	GateInstance .....	39
6.4.4	ComponentType .....	39
6.4.5	ComponentInstance .....	39
6.4.6	ComponentInstanceRole .....	40
6.4.7	GateReference .....	40
6.4.8	Connection .....	40
6.4.9	TestConfiguration .....	41
6.5	Test Behaviour .....	41
6.5.1	TestDescription .....	41
6.5.2	BehaviourDescription .....	42
6.5.3	Behaviour .....	42
6.5.4	Block .....	43
6.5.5	LocalExpression .....	43
6.5.6	CombinedBehaviour .....	43
6.5.7	SingleCombinedBehaviour .....	44
6.5.8	CompoundBehaviour .....	44
6.5.9	BoundedLoopBehaviour .....	44

6.5.10	UnboundedLoopBehaviour.....	45
6.5.11	OptionalBehaviour.....	45
6.5.12	MultipleCombinedBehaviour .....	46
6.5.13	ConditionalBehaviour.....	46
6.5.14	AlternativeBehaviour.....	46
6.5.15	ParallelBehaviour .....	47
6.5.16	ExceptionalBehaviour.....	48
6.5.17	DefaultBehaviour.....	48
6.5.18	InterruptBehaviour.....	48
6.5.19	PeriodicBehaviour .....	49
6.5.20	AtomicBehaviour.....	49
6.5.21	Break.....	50
6.5.22	Stop.....	50
6.5.23	VerdictAssignment .....	51
6.5.24	Assertion.....	51
6.5.25	Interaction.....	51
6.5.26	Message .....	52
6.5.27	Target.....	52
6.5.28	ValueAssignment.....	53
6.5.29	ProcedureCall .....	53
6.5.30	TestDescriptionReference.....	54
6.5.31	ComponentInstanceBinding.....	54
6.5.32	ActionBehaviour.....	55
6.5.33	ActionReference .....	55
6.5.34	InlineAction .....	55
6.5.35	Assignment .....	56

<b>Annex A (informative):</b>	<b>Technical Representation of the Complete Textual Syntax .....</b>	<b>57</b>
-------------------------------	--	-----------

<b>Annex B (informative):</b>	<b>Examples.....</b>	<b>58</b>
-------------------------------	----------------------	-----------

B.0	Overview .....	58
B.1	Illustration of Data Use .....	58
B.2	Interface Testing.....	60
B.3	Interoperability Testing .....	63
	History .....	66

---

# Intellectual Property Rights

## Essential patents

IPRs essential or potentially essential to normative deliverables may have been declared to ETSI. The declarations pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, are publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: *"Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards"*, which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (<https://ipr.etsi.org/>).

Pursuant to the ETSI Directives including the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation regarding the essentiality of IPRs, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

## Trademarks

The present document may include trademarks and/or tradenames which are asserted and/or registered by their owners. ETSI claims no ownership of these except for any which are indicated as being the property of ETSI, and conveys no right to use or reproduce any trademark and/or tradename. Mention of those trademarks in the present document does not constitute an endorsement by ETSI of products, services or organizations associated with those trademarks.

**DECT™, PLUGTESTS™, UMTS™** and the ETSI logo are trademarks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members. **3GPP™** and **LTE™** are trademarks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners. **oneM2M™** logo is a trademark of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the oneM2M Partners. **GSM®** and the GSM logo are trademarks registered and owned by the GSM Association.

---

# Foreword

This ETSI Standard (ES) has been produced by ETSI Technical Committee Methods for Testing and Specification (MTS).

The present document is part 8 of a multi-part deliverable. Full details of the entire series can be found in part 1 [1].

---

## Modal verbs terminology

In the present document "shall", "shall not", "should", "should not", "may", "need not", "will", "will not", "can" and "cannot" are to be interpreted as described in clause 3.2 of the [ETSI Drafting Rules](#) (Verbal forms for the expression of provisions).

"must" and "must not" are NOT allowed in ETSI deliverables except when used in direct citation.

---

# 1 Scope

The present document specifies the concrete textual syntax of the Test Description Language (TDL). The intended use of the present document is to serve as the basis for the development of textual TDL tools and TDL specifications. The meta-model of TDL and the meanings of the meta-classes are described in ETSI ES 203 119-1 [1].

NOTE: OMG®, UML® and OCL™ are the trademarks of Object Management Group (OMG). Xtext™ is trademark of the Eclipse® Foundation. This information is given for the convenience of users of the present document and does not constitute an endorsement by ETSI of the products named.

---

# 2 References

## 2.1 Normative references

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

Referenced documents which are not found to be publicly available in the expected location might be found at <https://docbox.etsi.org/Reference/>.

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication, ETSI cannot guarantee their long term validity.

The following referenced documents are necessary for the application of the present document.

- [1] [ETSI ES 203 119-1](#): "Methods for Testing and Specification (MTS); The Test Description Language (TDL); Part 1: Abstract Syntax and Associated Semantics".

## 2.2 Informative references

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication, ETSI cannot guarantee their long term validity.

The following referenced documents are not necessary for the application of the present document but they assist the user with regard to a particular subject area.

- [i.1] [Eclipse® Foundation: Xtext - The Grammar Language Website](#).
- [i.2] ETSI TS 136 523-1 (V10.2.0): "LTE; Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA) and Evolved Packet Core (EPC); User Equipment (UE) conformance specification; Part 1: Protocol conformance specification (3GPP TS 36.523-1 version 10.2.0 Release 10)".
- [i.3] ETSI TS 186 011-2: "Core Network and Interoperability Testing (INT); IMS NNI Interoperability Test Specifications (3GPP Release 10); Part 2: Test descriptions for IMS NNI Interoperability".
- [i.4] ETSI: [TDL Open Source Project](#).
- [i.5] ETSI ES 203 119-4: "Methods for Testing and Specification (MTS); The Test Description Language (TDL); Part 4: Structured Test Objective Specification (Extension)".
- [i.6] ETSI ES 203 119-7: "Methods for Testing and Specification (MTS); The Test Description Language (TDL); Part 7: Extended Test Configurations".

- [i.7] ETSI TS 129 165: "Digital cellular telecommunications system (Phase 2+) (GSM); Universal Mobile Telecommunications System (UMTS); LTE; 5G; Inter-IMS Network to Network Interface (NNI) (3GPP TS 29.165)".
- [i.8] ETSI TS 124 229: "Digital cellular telecommunications system (Phase 2+) (GSM); Universal Mobile Telecommunications System (UMTS); LTE; 5G; IP multimedia call control protocol based on Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) and Session Description Protocol (SDP); Stage 3 (3GPP TS 24.229)".
- [i.9] ETSI TS 136 213: "LTE; Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA); Physical layer procedures (3GPP TS 36.213)".
- [i.10] ETSI TS 136 508: "LTE; Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA) and Evolved Packet Core (EPC); Common test environments for User Equipment (UE) conformance testing (3GPP TS 36.508)".

## 3 Definition of terms, symbols and abbreviations

### 3.1 Terms

For the purposes of the present document, the terms given in ETSI ES 203 119-1 [1] and the following apply:

**derivation:** construction of an abstract syntactical structure, such as a model instance conforming to a meta-model, from a textual representation by applying the structural rules of a grammar, and potential mappings to the underlying meta-model

**(formal) grammar:** set of structural rules that define how to form valid strings from a language's alphabet that obey the syntax of the language

**non-terminal symbol:** placeholder for (groups of) other symbols that describe elements in a specified language

**(production) rule:** definition of a structured rule for the derivation of a non-terminal symbol based on other non-terminal symbols and terminal symbols

**terminal symbol:** symbols that appear explicitly in a specified language, such as a keyword, an identifier or other tokens

### 3.2 Symbols

Void.

### 3.3 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the following abbreviations apply:

EBNF	Extended Backus-Naur Form
IMS	IP Multimedia Subsystem
OCL	Object Constraint Language™
OMG	Object Management Group®
SUT	System Under Test
TDL	Test Description Language
TTCN-3	Testing and Test Control Notation version 3
UML	Unified Modelling Language®
URI	Uniform Record Identifier

## 4 Basic principles

### 4.1 Introduction

The meta-model of the Test Description Language (TDL) is specified in ETSI ES 203 119-1 [1]. The presentation format of the meta-model can be different according to the needs of the users or the requirements of the domain, where the TDL is applied. These presentation formats can either be text-oriented or graphic-oriented and may cover all the functionalities of the TDL meta-model or just a part of it, which is relevant to satisfy the needs of a specific application domain.

The present document specifies a concrete textual syntax that provides a textual representation for the commonly used functionality of the TDL meta-model. In the current version of the present document, certain parts, such as 'Comment's and 'Annotation's in 'DataUse' elements, are syntactically excluded. Syntactic specifications for these may be added in future versions of the present document as needed.

The present document specifies the TDL textual file format, where the textual representations of the instances of the TDL meta-classes may be placed. A textual representation may contain keywords, delimiters, and textual labels within a defined structure. The rules, how these structures shall be interpreted, are described by means of Extended Backus-Naur Form (EBNF)-like expressions. In particular, in addition to the syntactical structure, the EBNF-like expressions also indicate how the textual labels and structures are mapped to the TDL meta-model.

### 4.2 Document Structure

The present document specifies the concrete textual syntax of the Test Description Language (TDL).

Clause 5 specifies general rules for the specification and use of the TDL textual file format.

Clause 6 specifies the concrete production rules defined for the TDL meta-classes (the meta-model of TDL and the meanings of the meta-classes are described in ETSI ES 203 119-1 [1]):

- Foundation (clause 6.1)
- Data (clause 6.2)
- Time (clause 6.3)
- Test Configuration (clause 6.4)
- Test Behaviour (clause 6.5)

At the end of the present document several examples illustrating the features of the TDL Textual Syntax can be found.

### 4.3 Grammar Language

#### 4.3.1 Overview

The rules that define the textual syntax of the TDL are described in present document using the grammar language of the Xtext framework. In addition to defining the lexical structure of the TDL syntax the grammar language also provides means for mapping those textual constructs to the TDL meta-model. Additional rules such as identity resolution and linking are described where applicable to provide complete mapping of textual TDL to the TDL model.

The grammar of textual TDL is composed of a number of grammar rules organized in a tree. The grammar structure follows the logical structure of the TDL meta-model and the root of the grammar is the 'Package' production rule. Production rules are used to construct model objects and assign values to the properties of those objects. Production rules consist of keywords (character literals) and calls to production rules, data type rules and terminal rules (which correspond to tokens of text).

The following clauses describe the syntax of the grammar language. See Xtext documentation for further details [i.1].

### 4.3.2 Operators

Various operators are used in grammar rule definitions to specify the order and cardinality of keywords and rule calls. Terminal rule specific operators are used to express various textual constructs. Production rule specific operators are used to define assignments and cross-references.

Following operators are used in all rule definitions:

- '?' indicates that preceding construct shall occur 0 or 1 time;
- '\*' indicates that preceding construct shall occur 0 or more times;
- '+' indicates that preceding construct shall occur 1 or more times;
- '||' is used between alternative constructs; and
- '(' and ')' are used to group constructs defined in between.

Following operators are used in terminal rule definitions:

- '!' is used to negate a construct;
- '->' is used to indicate that everything is ignored until the following construct is detected;
- '..' is used between characters to define a range; and
- '.' denotes any character.

Following operators are used in production rule definitions:

- '=' is used to define a simple assignment of a right hand construct to a property on the left;
- '+=' is used for assigning (adding to) multi-valued property;
- '?=' is used for assigning the value 'true' to a Boolean property on the condition that the right hand side construct is present; and
- '[', ']' and ']' are used to define a cross-reference.

Various special symbols are included in the grammar definitions of production rules that are included solely as implementation detail (to help the generation of a parser for textual TDL) and do not alter the definition of the syntax. Such symbols include '->' and '=>'.

### 4.3.3 Terminal rules and keywords

Lexical tokens in the TDL grammar are either keywords or character sequences that are matched and consumed by terminal rules during parsing. In the grammar definition, keywords are placed between apostrophes ('').

Terminal rule declarations start with the keyword 'terminal' followed by the rule name (in upper-case letters by convention). The rule name is followed by 'returns' keyword and the reference to a data type that is used for creating a value using the consumed token.

The definition of the rule starts with a colon (':') and ends with a semi-colon (';'). Terminal rule definitions consist of terminal rule calls (indicated by rule name), characters and operators.

EXAMPLE: Terminal INT returns EInt: ('0'..'9')+;

Some terminal rules (such as comments and whitespace) are defined as hidden in TDL grammar and corresponding text shall be allowed anywhere in textual TDL (outside of tokens).

### 4.3.4 Production rules

Production rules are used to create model objects or data values. The rules that return a data type instead of a meta-class are known as data type rules.

Production rule declarations start with the rule name followed by 'returns' keyword and the reference to the meta-class that defines the object that is produced by the rule. The definition of the rule starts with a colon (':') and ends with a semi-colon (';'). Production rule definitions consist of rule calls, keywords and operators.

EXAMPLE 1: Comment returns tdl::Comment:

```
'Note:' body=EString  
;
```

An assignment is defined as a property name followed by an assignment operator (see clause 4.3.2) followed by a rule call (name of production or data type rule) or a cross-reference. A cross-reference is defined as a meta-class reference followed by '[' and a terminal rule call that defines the format for the identifier. The cross-reference definition is placed between square brackets ('[' and ']').

EXAMPLE 2: Annotation returns tdl::Annotation:

```
'@' key=[tdl::AnnotationType|Identifier]  
(':' value=EString)?  
;
```

Production rule calls may also be used without assignment. In that case the model object that is returned from the calling rule is the one that is created in the called rule.

Production rules may be created as fragments by prefixing the declaration with the 'fragment' keyword. In that case the rule does not produce an object by itself but rather assigns to properties of the object that is created in the calling rule. Fragment rules are always unassigned.

## 4.4 Conformance

For an implementation claiming to conform to this version of the TDL Concrete Textual Syntax, all features specified in the present document and in ETSI ES 203 119-1 [1] shall be implemented consistently with the requirements given in the present document and ETSI ES 203 119-1 [1].

# 5 General rules

## 5.1 Identities and references

In TDL models, references between objects are based on unique identifiers that are generated by the modelling framework and stored in model files. Such identifiers are generally hidden from the user. In textual TDL, all attributes shall be part of the text document and the use of such identifiers is not feasible.

In textual TDL, objects are identified by 'name' or 'qualifiedName' property. The allowed values for the 'name' property are restricted by the terminal rule 'ID' (see clause 5.5). The exception to this rule is made for objects that are predefined in TDL and are mapped to special symbols in textual TDL (such as AnyValue).

If the 'name' property shall have a value that is equal to a keyword in textual TDL then that value shall be prefixed with '^' in the text.

## 5.2 Models and importing

TDL objects stored in a single file are collectively referred to as model. Both the TDL model and textual TDL allow single 'Package' object as the root of the model. Thus, logically the root package of a TDL file is a TDL model.

Naming of textual TDL files and the location of those files is out of the scope of the present document. Implementations of the textual TDL shall provide means to make TDL models available for importing.

Imported 'Package's shall be referred to by the value of the 'qualifiedName' property.

## 5.3 Linking

Linking refers to the phase in the compilation process of textual TDL where name-based cross-references are resolved to actual objects that they represent. By default, linking utilizes object identities as described in clause 5.1.

In some cases where explicit cross-references are not required by the grammar rules, the linking may apply context specific logic to assign references to object properties. Such cases are described in the relevant clauses.

## 5.4 Alternative syntaxes

Although the keywords are specified with certain case (lower-case or title-case) in the present document, the case itself is not prescribed. Therefore, an implementation can be case-insensitive as well. It is recommended that users apply a consistent case nonetheless.

The delimiters for 'Block's and other constructs are specified in an abstract manner with the 'BEGIN' and 'END' terminal symbols. While the default assumption is that these terminal symbols are mapped to left and right braces ('{' and '}'), referred to as 'brace-based' syntax, an alternative implementation using white space indentation is also possible, where synthetic delimiters for the beginning and end of indented parts shall be used instead, referred to as 'indentation-based' syntax. Besides the replacement of the 'BEGIN' and 'END' symbols, no other differences shall be present between implementations of the 'brace-based' and 'indentation-based' syntax. Left and right braces ('{' and '}') shall be used in certain contexts even within the 'indentation-based' syntax, e.g. for 'TimeConstraint's and data-related 'Constraint's.

The examples in the present document conform to the default assumption. Additional examples illustrating the indentation-based syntax are included in Annex B.

## 5.5 Terminals

The base terminal symbol definitions include the following:

```
terminal ID: '^'?('a'..'z'|'A'..'Z'|'_') ('a'..'z'|'A'..'Z'|'_|'0'..'9')*;
terminal INT returns EInt: ('0'..'9')+;
terminal STRING:
  "" ("\\\" ./* 'b'|'t'|'n'|'f'|'r'|'u'|""|"""/ | !(\\\"|"")) * "" |
  """ ("\\\" ./* 'b'|'t'|'n'|'f'|'r'|'u'|""|"""/ | !(\\\"|"")) * """
;
terminal ML_COMMENT: /*->*/;
terminal SL_COMMENT: // !(\n|\\r)* (\n|\\r)?;
terminal WS      : (' '|\\t|\\r|\\n)+;
terminal ANY_OTHER: .;
terminal TRUE : 'true';
terminal FALSE : 'false';
terminal BEGIN: '}';
terminal END: '}';

```

The 'WS', 'ML\_COMMENT', and 'SL\_COMMENT' tokens shall be hidden.

For the indentation-based syntax variant, the 'BEGIN' and 'END' terminal symbols are redefined to the following (with 'synthetic:BEGIN' and 'synthetic:END' representing an increase and a decrease in the indentation, respectively):

```
@Override
terminal BEGIN: 'synthetic:BEGIN'; // increase indentation

@Override
terminal END: 'synthetic:END'; // decrease indentation
```

In addition to the terminal symbols, data type parser rules for context-sensitive 'pseudo-terminals' include the following:

```
EString:
  STRING
;
```

```

Identifier:
ID
;

GRIdentifier:
ID ('.' ID)?
;

QIdentifier:
ID ('.' ID)*
;

NIdentifier:
( '-'? INT ('.' INT)? )
;

LBrace:
BEGIN
;

RBrace:
END
;

LParen:
(
;

RParen:
)
;

BIGINTEGER returns ecore::EBigInteger:
INT
;

BOOLEAN returns EBoolean:
TRUE | FALSE
;

```

The 'LBrace' and 'RBrace' rules differentiate the use of left '(' and right ')' braces in certain contexts (e.g. 'Constraint's and 'TimeConstraint's) from their use as delimiters in the brace-based variant of the syntax. For the indentation-based variant of the syntax, these rules shall be overridden as follows:

```

//Retain Braces even in indentation-based
@Override
LBrace:
(
;

@Override
RBrace:
)
;

//for both indented and un-indented blocks within parentheses
@Override
LParen:
('' BEGIN?
;

@Override
RParen:
END? ')'
;

```

The redefinition of the 'LParen' and 'RParen' with optional 'BEGIN' and 'END' tokens enables the use of indentation in blocks within parentheses in the indentation-based variant as all indentation is semantically relevant. In case indentation needs to be optionally allowed in other cases, a similar pattern can be applied for further tailoring of the indentation-based syntax variant.

## 5.6 File format

No assumptions are made about the file format at present. For practical purposes, certain conventions regarding the naming of files using the indentation-based and brace-based variants of the syntax are recommended, e.g. using different file endings or "extensions".

# 6 Production Rules

## 6.1 Foundation

### 6.1.1 Element

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
fragment AnnotationFragment returns tdl::Element:  
  (annotation+=Annotation)*  
  
fragment AnnotationCommentFragment returns tdl::Element:  
  (comment+=Comment)*  
  (annotation+=Annotation)*  
;  
  
fragment NameFragment returns tdl::Element:  
  'Name:' name=Identifier  
;  
  
fragment WithCommentFragment returns tdl::Element:  
  'with'  
  BEGIN  
  (comment+=Comment)+  
  END  
;  
  
fragment WithNameFragment returns tdl::Element:  
  'with'  
  BEGIN  
  NameFragment  
  END  
;
```

#### Comments

This is an abstract metaclass, therefore no textual representation is defined for the element. The concrete textual notation represents reusable fragments that can be embedded in the concrete textual notation of metaclasses inheriting from this metaclass.

The different fragments are used in different contexts.

#### Examples

**Note:** "Example test objective"  
 @Example

```
with {  
  Note: "Comment on nested package"  
}  
  
with {  
  Name: anOptionalNameForElementWithoutMandatoryName  
}
```

## 6.1.2 NamedElement

Concrete Textual Notation

Void.

Comments

This is an abstract metaclass, therefore no textual representation is defined for the element.

Examples

Void.

## 6.1.3 ElementImport

Concrete Textual Notation

```
ElementImport returns tdl::ElementImport:
    AnnotationCommentFragment
    'import'
    ('all' |
     (importedElement+=[tdl::PackageableElement|Identifier]
      ('.' importedElement+=[tdl::PackageableElement|Identifier])*)
     )
    'from' importedPackage=[tdl::Package|QIdentifier]
;
```

Comments

No comments.

Examples

```
import all from NestedPackage
import NestedAnnotation from NestedPackage
```

## 6.1.4 Package

Concrete Textual Notation

```
Package returns tdl::Package:
    AnnotationCommentFragment
    'Package' name=Identifier
    {BEGIN
        (^import+=ElementImport)*
        (packagedElement+=PackageableElement)*
        (nestedPackage+=Package)*
    END}?
```

Comments

'Annotation's applied to the 'Package' shall be defined within the 'Package' or imported in the 'Package' from other 'Package's even as the applicable 'Annotation's appear on the "outside" of the 'Package'.

## Examples

```
@NestedAnnotation
Package Foundation {
    Note: "Example imports from nested (or other) package"
    Import all from NestedPackage
    Import NestedAnnotation from NestedPackage

    Note: "Annotate examples"
    Annotation Example

    Note: "Annotate standardized constructs"
    Annotation Standard

    Package NestedPackage {
        Annotation NestedAnnotation
    }
    with {
        Note: "Comment on nested package"
    }
}

} with {
    Note: "Comment on foundation"
}
```

## 6.1.5 PackageableElement

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
PackageableElement returns tdl::PackageableElement:
(AnnotationType | TestObjective
 | ConstraintType
 | DataResourceMapping | DataElementMapping
 | SimpleDataType | SimpleDataInstance
 | StructuredDataType | StructuredDataInstance
 | CollectionDataType | CollectionDataInstance
 | ProcedureSignature
 | Action | Function
 | PredefinedFunction
 | EnumDataType
 | Time
 | ComponentType | GateType
 | TestConfiguration
 | TestDescription
)
;
```

### Comments

This is an abstract metaclass, the textual representation depends on the concrete types indicated as alternative derivations.

## Examples

Void.

## 6.1.6 Comment

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
Comment returns tdl::Comment:
'Note' (name=NIdentifier)?
':'
body=EString
;
```

## Comments

In different contexts, depending on the fragments being used, a 'Comment' may be defined before the 'Element' or within a 'with' block.

'Comment's are syntactically excluded from certain constructs, e.g. 'DataUse'.

## Examples

```
Note: "Example test objective"
Objective TO_Foundation
```

## 6.1.7 Annotation

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
Annotation returns tdl::Annotation:
  '@' key=[tdl::AnnotationType|Identifier]
  ('.' value=EString)?
;
```

## Comments

'Annotation's are syntactically excluded from certain constructs, e.g. 'DataUse'.

## Examples

```
@Example
Objective TO_Foundation
```

## 6.1.8 AnnotationType

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
AnnotationType returns tdl::AnnotationType:
  AnnotationCommentFragment
  'Annotation' name=Identifier
  ('extends' extension=Extension)?
;
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```
Note: "Annotate examples"
Annotation Example
```

```
Note: "Annotate standardized constructs"
Annotation Standard
```

## 6.1.9 TestObjective

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
TestObjective returns tdl::TestObjective:
  AnnotationCommentFragment
  'Objective' name=Identifier
  {BEGIN
    ('Description:' description=EString)?
    ('References:' objectiveURI+=EString (';' objectiveURI+=EString)*)?
  END)?
;
;
```

### Comments

No comments.

### Examples

```
Objective TO_Foundation {
  Description: "Illustrate the definition of a test objectives"
  References: "This package.",
  "A base document",
  "Another source"
}
```

## 6.1.10 Extension

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
Extension returns tdl::Extension:
  extending=[tdl::PackageableElement|Identifier]
;
```

### Comments

'Annotation's and 'Comment's are syntactically excluded.

### Examples

```
Structure Post (
  String title,
  String date
)

Structure TaggedPost extends Post (
  Tags tags
)
```

## 6.1.11 ConstraintType

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
ConstraintType returns tdl::ConstraintType:
  AnnotationCommentFragment
  'Constraint' name=Identifier
;
```

### Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```
@Example
Constraint HexString
@Example
Constraint DateString
@Standard
Constraint Length
```

### 6.1.12 Constraint

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
Constraint returns tdl::Constraint:
  type=[tdl::ConstraintType|Identifier]
  (': quantifier+=DataUse (: quantifier+=DataUse)* )?
;
```

#### Comments

'Annotation's and 'Comment's are syntactically excluded.

## Examples

```
Collection Posts {Length: 10} of Post
```

## 6.2 Data

### 6.2.1 DataResourceMapping

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
DataResourceMapping returns tdl::DataResourceMapping:
  AnnotationCommentFragment
  'Use' resourceURI=EString
  'as' name=Identifier
;
```

#### Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```
Note: "Use external resource for the mapping"
Use "API.yaml" as API
```

### 6.2.2 DataElementMapping

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
DataElementMapping returns tdl::DataElementMapping:
  AnnotationCommentFragment
  'Map' mappableDataElement=[tdl:: |Identifier]
  ('to' elementURI=EString)?
  'in' dataResourceMapping=[tdl::DataResourceMapping|Identifier]
  'as' name=Identifier
  (BEGIN
    parameterMapping+=ParameterMapping (: parameterMapping+=ParameterMapping)*
  END)?
;
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```
Note: "Map data elements to concrete data in external resource"
Map Post to "api/post" in API as PostMapping {
    title -> "post::title",
    date -> "post::date"
}
```

## 6.2.3 ParameterMapping

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
ParameterMapping returns tdl::ParameterMapping:
    AnnotationCommentFragment
    parameter=[tdl::Parameter|Identifier]
    '->' parameterURI=EString
;
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```
title -> "post::title"
date -> "post::date"
```

## 6.2.4 DataType

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
fragment ConstraintFragment returns tdl::DataType:
    {LBrace constraint+=Constraint RBrace}*
;
```

## Comments

This is an abstract metaclass, therefore no textual representation is defined for the element. The concrete textual notation represents reusable fragments that can be embedded in the concrete textual notation of metaclasses inheriting from this metaclass.

## Examples

Void.

## 6.2.5 SimpleDataType

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
SimpleDataType returns tdl::SimpleDataType:
    AnnotationCommentFragment
    'Type' name=Identifier
    ConstraintFragment
    ('extends' extension=Extension)?
;
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```
@Standard
Type String
@Standard
Type Integer
@Standard
Type Verdict
```

## 6.2.6 SimpleDataInstance

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
SimpleDataInstance returns tdl::SimpleDataInstance:
    AnnotationCommentFragment
    dataType=[tdl::DataType|Identifier]
    name=Identifier
;
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```
@Standard
Verdict fail
String authToken
Integer sessionId
```

## 6.2.7 StructuredDataType

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
StructuredDataType returns tdl::StructuredDataType:
    AnnotationCommentFragment
    'Structure' name=Identifier
    ConstraintFragment
    ('extends' extension+=Extension ('.' extension+=Extension)*)?
    LParen(member+=Member ('.' member+=Member)*)? RParen
;
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```
Structure Post (
    String title,
    String date
)
```

## 6.2.8 Member

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
Member returns tdl::Member:
  AnnotationCommentFragment
  (isOptional?-'optional')?
  dataType=[tdl::DataType|Identifier]
  name=Identifier
  (LBrace constraint+=Constraint RBrace)*
;
```

### Comments

No comments.

### Examples

```
String title

Note: "Constraint for members"
String date {DateString}

Note: "Optional members"
optional Tags tags
```

## 6.2.9 StructuredDataInstance

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
StructuredDataInstance returns tdl::StructuredDataInstance:
  AnnotationCommentFragment
  dataType=[tdl::DataType|Identifier]
  name=Identifier
  (<!-- unassignedMember=UnassignedMemberTreatment '-->)?
  LParen(memberAssignment+=MemberAssignment ('+' memberAssignment+=MemberAssignment)*)? RParen
;
```

### Comments

No comments.

### Examples

```
Post firstReport <?> (
  title = "first report",
  date = "today"
)
```

## 6.2.10 MemberAssignment

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
MemberAssignment returns tdl::MemberAssignment:
  AnnotationCommentFragment
  member=[tdl::Member|Identifier]
  != memberSpec=DataUse
;
```

### Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```
title = "first report"
date = firstReport.date
tags = ?
```

### 6.2.11 CollectionDataType

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
CollectionDataType returns tdl::CollectionDataType:
    AnnotationCommentFragment
    'Collection' name=Identifier
    ConstraintFragment
    'of' itemType=[tdl::DataType|Identifier]
;
```

#### Comments

No comments.

#### Examples

```
Collection Posts of Post
Collection Tags of Tag
```

### 6.2.12 CollectionDataInstance

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
CollectionDataInstance returns tdl::CollectionDataInstance:
    AnnotationCommentFragment
    dataType=[tdl::DataType|Identifier]
    name=Identifier
    (unassignedMember=UnassignedMemberTreatment)?
    '[' item+=DataUse (',' item+=DataUse)* ']'
;
```

#### Comments

No comments.

#### Examples

```
Tags usefulReportsFilter [useful, report]
Posts allPosts [
    new Post(title="first post", date="yesterday"),
    new Post(title="second post", date="today"),
    firstReport,
    secondReport
]
```

### 6.2.13 ProcedureSignature

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
ProcedureSignature returns tdl::ProcedureSignature:
    AnnotationCommentFragment
    'Signature' name=Identifier
    LParen parameter+=ProcedureParameter (',' parameter+=ProcedureParameter)* RParen
;
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```
Signature publish (in Post post, out Integer postId)
```

## 6.2.14 ProcedureParameter

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
ProcedureParameter returns tdl::ProcedureParameter:  
  AnnotationFragment  
  kind=ParameterKind  
  dataType=[tdl::DataType|Identifier]  
  name=Identifier  
  WithCommentFragment?  
;
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```
in Post post  
out Integer postId
```

## 6.2.15 ParameterKind

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
enum ParameterKind returns tdl::ParameterKind:  
  In = 'in' | Out = 'out' | Exception = 'exception'  
;
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

Void.

## 6.2.16 Parameter

### Concrete Textual Notation

Void.

## Comments

This is an abstract metaclass, therefore no textual representation is defined for the element.

## Examples

Void.

## 6.2.17 FormalParameter

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
FormalParameter returns tdl::FormalParameter:
  AnnotationFragment
  dataType=[tdl::DataType|Identifier]
  name=Identifier
  WithCommentFragment?
;
```

### Comments

No comments.

### Examples

```
@Encrypted
Post post
@Unique
Integer postId
```

## 6.2.18 Variable

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
Variable returns tdl::Variable:
  AnnotationCommentFragment
  'variable' dataType=[tdl::DataType|Identifier]
  name=Identifier
  WithCommentFragment?
;
```

### Comments

No comments.

### Examples

```
variable Binary authToken
```

## 6.2.19 Action

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
Action returns tdl::Action:
  AnnotationCommentFragment
  'Action' name=Identifier
  (LParen formalParameter+=FormalParameter ( ',' formalParameter+=FormalParameter)* RParen)?
  ( ':' body=EString)?
;
```

### Comments

No comments.

### Examples

```
Action reset
Action clean: "Cleaning procedure: Wash hands, wear mask and gloves, open windows."
Action reload(Posts posts): "Reloading procedure: Clear all posts, reset, reload posts."
```

## 6.2.20 Function

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
Function returns tdl::Function:
  AnnotationCommentFragment
  'Function' name=Identifier
  (LParen formalParameter+=FormalParameter (',' formalParameter+=FormalParameter)* RParen)?
  'returns' returnType=[tdl::DataType|Identifier]
  ('!' body=EString)?
;
```

### Comments

No comments.

### Examples

```
Function categorizeReport(Post post, Tags tags) returns Post: "Categorize with text mining"
```

## 6.2.21 UnassignedMemberTreatment

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
enum UnassignedMemberTreatment returns tdl::UnassignedMemberTreatment:
  AnyValue = '?!' | AnyValueOrOmit = '!*'
;
```

### Comments

No comments.

### Examples

```
Post firstReport <?>(
  title = "first report",
  date = "today"
)
```

## 6.2.22 PredefinedFunction

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
PredefinedFunction returns tdl::PredefinedFunction:
  AnnotationCommentFragment
  'Predefined'
  (name=PredefinedIdentifierBinary
   | name=PredefinedIdentifierNot
   | name=PredefinedIdentifierSize
  )
  ('returns' returnType=[tdl::DataType|Identifier])?
;

PredefinedIdentifierBinary returns ecore::EString:
  '+'
  '-'
  '*'
  '/'
  'mod'
  '>'
  '<'
  '>='
  '<='
  '=='
  '!='
  'and'
  'or'
  'xor'
;

PredefinedIdentifierNot returns ecore::EString:
  'not'
;

PredefinedIdentifierSize returns ecore::EString:
  'size'
;
```

;

## Comments

The 'PredefinedFunction's shall be provided as a standard library.

## Examples

```
Predefined ==
Predefined !=
Predefined +
```

## 6.2.23 EnumDataType

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
EnumDataType returns tdl::EnumDataType:
    AnnotationCommentFragment
    'Enumerated' name=Identifier
    BEGIN
        value+=SimpleDataInstance ('.' value+=SimpleDataInstance)*
    END
;
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```
Enumerated Tag {
    Tag useful,
    Tag interesting,
    Tag report
}
```

## 6.2.24 DataUse

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
DataUse returns tdl::DataUse:
    DataElementUse
    | StaticDataUse
    | DynamicDataUse
;

fragment ReductionFragment returns tdl::DataUse:
    (->reduction+=CollectionReference)?
    ('.' reduction+=MemberReference)*
;

fragment ParameterBindingFragment returns tdl::DataUse:
    LParen (argument+=ParameterBinding ('.' argument+=ParameterBinding)*)? RParen
;
```

## Comments

This is an abstract metaclass, the textual representation depends on the concrete types indicated as alternative derivations. The reusable fragments can be embedded in the concrete textual notation of metaclasses inheriting from this metaclass.

'Annotation's and 'Comment's, as well as the 'name' property, are syntactically excluded from all concrete types.

## Examples

Void.

### 6.2.25 ParameterBinding

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
ParameterBinding returns tdl::ParameterBinding:
    parameter=[tdl::Parameter|Identifier]
    [=] dataUse=DataUse
;
```

#### Comments

No comments.

## Examples

Void.

### 6.2.26 MemberReference

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
MemberReference returns tdl::MemberReference:
    member=[tdl::Member|Identifier]
    (->[" collectionIndex=DataUse "])?
;

CollectionReference returns tdl::MemberReference:
    [" collectionIndex=DataUse "]
;
```

#### Comments

The 'CollectionReference' derivation is applicable in case only a collection reference is needed, for example, immediately after a 'DataUse' with a type resolving to a 'CollectionDataType'.

## Examples

```
Post memberPost (
    title = randomPosts[1].title
)
```

### 6.2.27 StaticDataUse

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
StaticDataUse returns tdl::StaticDataUse:
    DataInstanceUse
    | SpecialValueUse
    | LiteralValueUse
;
```

#### Comments

This is an abstract metaclass, the textual representation depends on the concrete types indicated as alternative derivations.

## Examples

Void.

### 6.2.28 DataInstanceUse

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
DataInstanceUse returns tdl::DataInstanceUse:
(
  'instance' dataInstance=[tdl::DataInstance|Identifier]
  UnassignedFragment?
  ParameterBindingFragment?
  ReductionFragment
)
|
(
  'an' 'instance' 'of' dataType=[tdl::StructuredDataType|Identifier]
  UnassignedFragment?
  (ParameterBindingFragment | CollectionItemFragmentDataInstanceUse)
)
|
(
  'an' 'instance'
  UnassignedFragment?
  (ParameterBindingFragment | CollectionItemFragmentDataInstanceUse)
)
;

fragment UnassignedFragment returns tdl::DataInstanceUse:
  '<' unassignedMember=UnassignedMemberTreatment '>'
;

fragment CollectionItemFragmentDataInstanceUse returns tdl::DataInstanceUse:
  '[' (item+=DataUse (',' item+=DataUse)*)? ']'
;
```

#### Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```
Test illustrateDataInstanceUse(Post parameterPost) uses base {
  //anonymous instance
  client::http sends an instance of Post(title = "anonymous post") to server::http
  //defined instance
  client::http sends instance examplePost(title = "overridden title") to server::http
  //defined parameter
  client::http sends parameter parameterPost(title = "overridden title") to server::http
  //value returned from function
  client::http sends instance returned from fetchPost(id = 1) to server::http
  //anonymous collection including all of the above and truly anonymous instances
  client::http sends new Posts[
    an instance of Post(title = "anonymous post"),
    instance examplePost(title = "overridden title"),
    parameter parameterPost(title = "overridden title"),
    instance returned from fetchPost(id = 1),
    an instance (title = "truly anonymous without type specification!")
  ] to server::http
}
```

## 6.2.29 SpecialValueUse

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
SpecialValueUse returns tdl::SpecialValueUse:
    OmitValue | AnyValue | AnyValueOrOmit
;
```

### Comments

This is an abstract metaclass, the textual representation depends on the concrete types indicated as alternative derivations.

### Examples

Void.

## 6.2.30 AnyValue

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
AnyValue returns tdl::AnyValue:
    name='?'
    ({LBrace dataType=[tdl::DataType|Identifier] RBrace}?
;
```

### Comments

No comments.

### Examples

Void.

## 6.2.31 AnyValueOrOmit

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
AnyValueOrOmit returns tdl::AnyValueOrOmit:
    name='*'
;
```

### Comments

No comments.

### Examples

Void.

## 6.2.32 OmitValue

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
OmitValue returns tdl::OmitValue:
    name='omit'
;
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

Void.

### 6.2.33 LiteralValueUse

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
LiteralValueUse returns tdl::LiteralValueUse:
  (value=STRING | intValue=BIGINTEGER | boolValue=BOOLEAN)
  (
    LBrace dataType=[tdl::DataType|Identifier] RBrace
    (ParameterBindingFragment | ReductionFragment)
  )?
;
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```
client::authToken = "101010"
client::authToken = 1234
client::loggedin = true
client::failAfter = 5 {sec}
client::decodedPostWithOverriddenTitle = "E242A4D4'H" {Post}{title = "new title"}
client::decodedTitle = "E242A4D4'H" {Post}.title
client::decodedPost = "[E242A4D4'H,F2A2A2D3'H]" {Posts}[1]
```

### 6.2.34 DynamicDataUse

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
DynamicDataUse returns tdl::DynamicDataUse:
  FunctionCall
  | FormalParameterUse
  | VariableUse
  | PredefinedFunctionCall
  | TimeLabelUse
;
```

## Comments

This is an abstract metaclass, the textual representation depends on the concrete types indicated as alternative derivations.

## Examples

Void.

## 6.2.35 FunctionCall

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
FunctionCall returns tdl::FunctionCall:
  'instance' 'returned' 'from' function=[tdl::Function|Identifier]
  ParameterBindingFragment
  ReductionFragment
;
```

### Comments

No comments.

### Examples

```
client::authToken = instance returned from generateToken(seed = 12)
```

## 6.2.36 FormalParameterUse

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
FormalParameterUse returns tdl::FormalParameterUse:
  'parameter' parameter=[tdl::FormalParameter|Identifier]
  (ParameterBindingFragment | ReductionFragment)
;
```

### Comments

No comments.

### Examples

```
client::encodedToken = retrieveToken(parameter tokenId)
```

## 6.2.37 VariableUse

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
VariableUse returns tdl::VariableUse:
  componentInstance=[tdl::ComponentInstance|Identifier]
  '..' variable=[tdl::Variable|Identifier]
  (ParameterBindingFragment | ReductionFragment)
;
```

### Comments

No comments.

### Examples

```
client::authToken = "101010"
client::encodedToken = encodeToken(client::authToken)
```

## 6.2.38 PredefinedFunctionCall

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
PredefinedFunctionCall returns tdl::PredefinedFunctionCall:
  PredefinedFunctionCallSize
  | PredefinedFunctionCallNot
  | PredefinedFunctionCallBinary
;

PredefinedFunctionCallSize returns tdl::PredefinedFunctionCall:
  function=[tdl::PredefinedFunction|PredefinedIdentifierSize]
  LParen actualParameters+=DataUse RParen
;

PredefinedFunctionCallNot returns tdl::PredefinedFunctionCall:
  function=[tdl::PredefinedFunction|PredefinedIdentifierNot]
  LParen actualParameters+=DataUse RParen
;

PredefinedFunctionCallBinary returns tdl::PredefinedFunctionCall:
  LParen
  actualParameters+=DataUse
  function=[tdl::PredefinedFunction|PredefinedIdentifierBinary]
  actualParameters+=DataUse
  RParen
;
```

### Comments

No comments.

### Examples

```
assert (size(allPosts)==4)
  assert not(client::authenticated)
```

## 6.2.39 DataElementUse

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
DataElementUse returns tdl::DataElementUse:
(
  dataElement=[tdl::NamedElement|Identifier]
  UnassignedFragmentNamedElement?
  ParameterBindingFragment?
  ReductionFragment
) | (
  ('new' dataElement=[tdl::DataType|Identifier])?
  UnassignedFragmentNamedElement?
  (ParameterBindingFragment | CollectionItemFragment)
)
;

fragment UnassignedFragmentNamedElement returns tdl::DataElementUse:
  '<' unassignedMember=UnassignedMemberTreatment '>'
;

fragment CollectionItemFragment returns tdl::DataElementUse:
  '[' (item+=DataUse (',' item+=DataUse)*)? ']'
;
```

### Comments

If no 'dataElement' is specified, or if the specified 'dataElement' is a 'DataType', 'ParameterBinding's or 'Collection' items shall be specified. Otherwise, 'ParameterBinding's and/or 'MemberReference's may be specified.

## Examples

```
Test illustrateDataElementUse(Post parameterPost) uses base {
    //anonymous instance
    client::http sends new Post(title = "anonymous post") to server::http
    //defined instance
    client::http sends examplePost(title = "overridden title") to server::http
    //defined parameter
    client::http sends parameterPost(title = "overridden title") to server::http
    //value returned from function
    client::http sends fetchPost(id = 1) to server::http
    //anonymous collection including all of the above and truly anonymous instances
    client::http sends new Posts[
        new Post(title = "anonymous post"),
        examplePost(title = "overridden title"),
        parameterPost(title = "overridden title"),
        fetchPost(id = 1),
        (title = "truly anonymous without type specification!")
    ] to server::http
}
```

## 6.3 Time

### 6.3.1 Time

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
Time returns tdl::Time;
AnnotationCommentFragment
'Time' name=Identifier
;
```

#### Comments

No comments.

#### Examples

```
Time seconds
Time milliseconds
```

### 6.3.2 TimeLabel

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
TimeLabel returns tdl::TimeLabel;
    name=Identifier '=' 'now'
;
```

#### Comments

'Annotation's and 'Comment's are syntactically excluded.

#### Examples

```
publicationTime=now
```

### 6.3.3 TimeLabelUse

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
TimeLabelUse returns tdl::TimeLabelUse:
  '@' timeLabel=[tdl::TimeLabel|Identifier]
  ('.' kind=TimeLabelUseKind)?
;
```

#### Comments

Assignment of the 'reduction' and 'argument' properties is syntactically excluded.

#### Examples

```
@publicationTime
@publicationTime.last
```

### 6.3.4 TimeLabelUseKind

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
enum TimeLabelUseKind returns tdl::TimeLabelUseKind:
  Last = 'last' | Previous = 'previous' | First = 'first'
;
```

#### Comments

No comments.

#### Examples

Void.

### 6.3.5 TimeConstraint

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
TimeConstraint returns tdl::TimeConstraint:
  timeConstraintExpression=DataUse
;
```

#### Comments

'Annotation's and 'Comment's are syntactically excluded.

#### Examples

Void.

### 6.3.6 Timer

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
Timer returns tdl::Timer:
  AnnotationCommentFragment
  'timer' name=Identifier
;
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```
timer global
```

## 6.3.7 TimeOperation

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
TimeOperation returns tdl::TimeOperation:
  Wait | Quiescence
;
```

## Comments

This is an abstract metaclass, the textual representation depends on the concrete types indicated as alternative derivations.

## Examples

Void.

## 6.3.8 Wait

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
Wait returns tdl::Wait:
  AtomicPrefixFragment
  'wait' 'for' period=DataUse
  ('on' componentInstance=[tdl::ComponentInstance|Identifier])
;
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```
wait for 10
wait for 10 on client
```

## 6.3.9 Quiescence

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
Quiescence returns tdl::Quiescence:
  AtomicPrefixFragment
  'quiet' 'for' period=DataUse
  ('on'
    componentInstance=[tdl::ComponentInstance|Identifier]
    | ('gate' gateReference=[tdl::GateReference|GRIdentifier])
  )
)?
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```
quiet for 5
quiet for 5 on server
quiet for 5 on gate server::http
```

### 6.3.10 TimerOperation

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
TimerOperation returns tdl::TimerOperation:
  TimerStart | TimerStop | TimeOut
;
```

#### Comments

This is an abstract metaclass, the textual representation depends on the concrete types indicated as alternative derivations.

#### Examples

Void.

### 6.3.11 TimerStart

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
TimerStart returns tdl::TimerStart:
  AtomicPrefixFragment
  'start' componentInstance=[tdl::ComponentInstance|Identifier]
  '::' timer=[tdl::Timer|Identifier]
  'for' period=DataUse
;
```

#### Comments

No comments.

#### Examples

```
start client::global for 10
```

### 6.3.12 TimerStop

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
TimerStop returns tdl::TimerStop:
  AtomicPrefixFragment
  'stop' componentInstance=[tdl::ComponentInstance|Identifier]
  '::' timer=[tdl::Timer|Identifier]
;
```

#### Comments

No comments.

#### Examples

```
stop client::global
```

### 6.3.13 TimeOut

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
TimeOut returns tdl::TimeOut:
  AtomicPrefixFragment
  'timeout' 'on' componentInstance=[tdl::ComponentInstance|Identifier]
  ':: timer=[tdl::Timer||Identifier]
;
```

#### Comments

No comments.

#### Examples

```
timeout on client::global
```

## 6.4 Test Configuration

### 6.4.1 GateType

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
GateType returns tdl::GateType:
  AnnotationCommentFragment
  kind=GateTypeKind
  'Gate' name=Identifier
  ('extends' extension=Extension)?
  'accepts' dataType+=[tdl::DataType|Identifier] ( ',' dataType+=[tdl::DataType|Identifier])*
;
```

#### Comments

No comments.

#### Examples

```
Message Gate HTTP accepts Post, Posts
```

```
Message Gate HTTPS extends HTTP accepts Binary
```

```
Procedure Gate RPC accepts publish
```

### 6.4.2 GateTypeKind

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
enum GateTypeKind returns tdl::GateTypeKind:
  Message = 'Message' | Procedure = 'Procedure'
;
```

#### Comments

No comments.

#### Examples

Void.

### 6.4.3 GateInstance

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
GateInstance returns tdl::GateInstance:
  AnnotationCommentFragment
  'gate' type=[tdl::GateType|Identifier]
  name=Identifier
  WithCommentFragment?
;
```

#### Comments

No comments.

#### Examples

```
gate HTTP http
gate RPC rpc
```

### 6.4.4 ComponentType

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
ComponentType returns tdl::ComponentType:
  AnnotationCommentFragment
  'Component' name=Identifier
  ('extends' extension=Extension)?
  BEGIN
    (timer+=Timer | variable+=Variable | gateInstance+=GateInstance)*
  END
;
```

#### Comments

No comments.

#### Examples

```
Component Node {
  timer global
  variable Binary authToken
  variable Integer lastPostId
  gate HTTP http
  gate RPC rpc
}

Component SecureNode extends Node {
  gate HTTPS https
}
```

### 6.4.5 ComponentInstance

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
ComponentInstance returns tdl::ComponentInstance:
  AnnotationCommentFragment
  type=[tdl::ComponentType|Identifier]
  name=Identifier
  'as' role=ComponentInstanceRole
;
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```
Node server as SUT
Node client as Tester
```

## 6.4.6 ComponentInstanceRole

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
enum ComponentInstanceRole returns tdl::ComponentInstanceRole:
    SUT = 'SUT' | Tester = 'Tester'
;
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

Void.

## 6.4.7 GateReference

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
GateReference returns tdl::GateReference:
    (name=GRIdentifier '=')?
    component=[tdl::ComponentInstance|Identifier]
    '::'
    gate=[tdl::GateInstance|Identifier]
;
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

Void.

## 6.4.8 Connection

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
Connection returns tdl::Connection:
    AnnotationCommentFragment
    'connect' endPoint+=GateReference
    'to' endPoint+=GateReference
    WithNameFragment?
;
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```
connect client::http to server::http
connect cRPC=client::rpc to sRPC=server::rpc
```

## 6.4.9 TestConfiguration

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
TestConfiguration returns tdl::TestConfiguration:
  AnnotationCommentFragment
  'Configuration' name=Identifier
  BEGIN
    componentInstance+=ComponentInstance (';|' componentInstance+=ComponentInstance)*
    (';|' connection+=Connection)*
  END
;
```

### Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```
Configuration base {
  Node server as SUT,
  Node client as Tester,
  connect client::http to server::http,
  connect cRPC=client::rpc to sRPC=server::rpc
}
```

## 6.5 Test Behaviour

### 6.5.1 TestDescription

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
TestDescription returns tdl::TestDescription:
  TDPrefixFragment
  ('Test' 'Description' | isLocallyOrdered?= 'Test')
  name=Identifier
  (LParen formalParameter+=FormalParameter (';|' formalParameter+=FormalParameter)* RParen )?
  'uses' testConfiguration=[tdl::TestConfiguration]|Identifier]
  (behaviourDescription=BehaviourDescription)?
;

fragment TDPrefixFragment returns tdl::TestDescription:
  TDOjectiveFragment?
  AnnotationCommentFragment
;

fragment TDOjectiveFragment returns tdl::TestDescription:
  'Objective':! testObjective+=[tdl::TestObjective]|Identifier]
  (';|' testObjective+=[tdl::TestObjective]|Identifier])*
```

### Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```

@Example
Test Description publishNewReport(Post cleanPost, Binary authRequest) uses base

@Example
Test publishNewReport(Post cleanPost, Binary authRequest) uses base

Objective: CheckAuthToken
Test Description publishNewReport(Post cleanPost, Binary authRequest)
uses base {
    perform action : "Call administrator" on client
}

```

## 6.5.2 BehaviourDescription

### Concrete Textual Notation

```

BehaviourDescription returns tdl::BehaviourDescription:
    behaviour=Behaviour
;

```

### Comments

'Annotation's and 'Comment's, as well as the 'name' property, are syntactically excluded from 'BehaviourDescription'.

### Examples

Void.

## 6.5.3 Behaviour

### Concrete Textual Notation

```

Behaviour returns tdl::Behaviour:
    CombinedBehaviour | AtomicBehaviour
;

fragment WithBehaviourFragment returns tdl::Behaviour:
    'with'
    BEGIN
        NameFragment?
        ObjectiveFragment?
        (comment+=Comment)*
    END
;

fragment ObjectiveFragment returns tdl::Behaviour:
    'Objective:' testObjective+=[tdl::TestObjective | Identifier]
    (';', testObjective+=[tdl::TestObjective | Identifier])*
;
```

### Comments

The reusable fragments can be embedded in the concrete textual notation of metaclasses inheriting from this metaclass.

### Examples

Void.

## 6.5.4 Block

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
Block returns tdl::Block:
  ('if' guard+=LocalExpression ('then' guard+=LocalExpression)* 'end')?
  BEGIN
    behaviour+=Behaviour+
  END
;
```

### Comments

'Annotation's and 'Comment's, as well as the 'name' property, are syntactically excluded from 'Block's. 'Annotation's and 'Comment's can be assigned to the containing 'CombinedBehaviour's.

### Examples

Void.

## 6.5.5 LocalExpression

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
LocalExpression returns tdl::LocalExpression:
  expression=DataUse
  ('on' componentInstance=[tdl::ComponentInstance|Identifier])?
;

LocalLoopExpression returns tdl::LocalExpression:
  expression=DataUse 'times'
  ('on' componentInstance=[tdl::ComponentInstance|Identifier])?
;
```

### Comments

The 'LocalLoopExpression' derivation is only used within 'BoundedLoopBehaviour's. 'Annotation's and 'Comment's, as well as the 'name' property, are syntactically excluded from 'LocalExpression's.

### Examples

Void.

## 6.5.6 CombinedBehaviour

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
CombinedBehaviour returns tdl::CombinedBehaviour:
  (SingleCombinedBehaviour | MultipleCombinedBehaviour)
  =>WithCombinedFragment?
;

fragment WithCombinedFragment returns tdl::CombinedBehaviour:
  'with'
  BEGIN
    NameFragment?
    ObjectiveFragment?
    (comment+=Comment)*
    (periodic+=PeriodicBehaviour)*
    (exceptional+=ExceptionalBehaviour)*
  END
;
```

## Comments

This is an abstract metaclass, the textual representation depends on the concrete types indicated as alternative derivations. The 'WithCombinedFragment' is always assigned to the innermost 'CombinedBehaviour'.

## Examples

Void.

## 6.5.7 SingleCombinedBehaviour

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
SingleCombinedBehaviour returns tdl::SingleCombinedBehaviour:
  CompoundBehaviour
  | BoundedLoopBehaviour
  | UnboundedLoopBehaviour
  | OptionalBehaviour
;
```

## Comments

This is an abstract metaclass, the textual representation depends on the concrete types indicated as alternative derivations.

## Examples

Void.

## 6.5.8 CompoundBehaviour

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
CompoundBehaviour returns tdl::CompoundBehaviour:
  AnnotationFragment
  block=Block
;
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```
@Example
[ "some expression" ] {
  perform action: "reload"
}

@Example
{
  perform action: "reload"
}
```

## 6.5.9 BoundedLoopBehaviour

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
BoundedLoopBehaviour returns tdl::BoundedLoopBehaviour:
  AnnotationFragment
  'repeat' numIteration+=LocalLoopExpression (';' numIteration+=LocalLoopExpression)*
  block=Block
;
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```
repeat 5 times {
    perform action: "reload"
}

repeat 5 times on client, 3 times on server {
    perform action: "reload"
}
```

## 6.5.10 UnboundedLoopBehaviour

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
UnboundedLoopBehaviour returns tdi::UnboundedLoopBehaviour:
    AnnotationFragment
    'while' block=Block
;
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```
while [ "some expression" ] {
    perform action: "reload"
}

while [ "some expression" on client, "other expression" on server] {
    perform action: "reload"
}
```

## 6.5.11 OptionalBehaviour

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
OptionalBehaviour returns tdi::OptionalBehaviour:
    AnnotationFragment
    'optionally' block=Block
;
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```
optionally {
    perform action: "reload"
}
```

## 6.5.12 MultipleCombinedBehaviour

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
MultipleCombinedBehaviour returns tdl::MultipleCombinedBehaviour:
  ConditionalBehaviour
  | AlternativeBehaviour
  | ParallelBehaviour
;
```

### Comments

This is an abstract metaclass, the textual representation depends on the concrete types indicated as alternative derivations.

### Examples

Void.

## 6.5.13 ConditionalBehaviour

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
ConditionalBehaviour returns tdl::ConditionalBehaviour:
  AnnotationFragment
  'if' block+=Block
  (=>('else' block+=Block)
  | (('else' 'if' block+=Block)*
    ('else' block+=Block))?
;
```

### Comments

The 'Block's are identified to by the preceding keywords, where the first 'Block' is referred to as an 'if' 'Block' and the following 'Block's are referred to as 'else' or 'else if' 'Blocks', respectively. An 'else' 'Block' shall always be attached to the innermost 'if' 'Block'.

### Examples

```
if [ "some expression" ] {
  perform action: "reload"
}

if [ "some expression" on client, "other expression" on server] {
  perform action: "reload"
} else if [ "some expression" on client, "other expression" on server] {
  perform action: "backup"
} else {
  perform action: "query"
}
```

## 6.5.14 AlternativeBehaviour

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
AlternativeBehaviour returns tdl::AlternativeBehaviour:
  AnnotationFragment
  'alternatively' block+=Block
  ('or' block+=Block)+
;
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```

alternatively {
    server::http sends "error" to client::http
    perform action: "reload"
} or {
    timeout on client::global
    perform action: "reload conditionally"
}

alternatively [ "some expression"] {
    server::http sends "error" to client::http
    perform action: "reload"
} or [ "some other expression"] {
    timeout on client::global
    perform action: "reload conditionally"
}

alternatively [ "some expression" on client, "other expression" on server] {
    server::http sends "error" to client::http
    perform action: "reload"
} or {
    timeout on client::global
    perform action: "reload conditionally"
}

```

## 6.5.15 ParallelBehaviour

### Concrete Textual Notation

```

ParallelBehaviour returns tdl::ParallelBehaviour:
    AnnotationFragment
    'run' block+=Block
    ('in' 'parallel' 'to' block+=Block)
    ('and' block+=Block)*
;

```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```

run {
    perform action: "reload"
} in parallel to {
    perform action: "backup"
} and {
    perform action: "query"
}

run [ "some expression" on client, "other expression" on server] {
    perform action: "reload"
} in parallel to {
    perform action: "backup"
} and [ "some expression" on client, "other expression" on server] {
    perform action: "query"
}

```

## 6.5.16 ExceptionalBehaviour

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
ExceptionalBehaviour returns tdl::ExceptionalBehaviour:
    DefaultBehaviour | InterruptBehaviour
;
```

### Comments

This is an abstract metaclass, the textual representation depends on the concrete types indicated as alternative derivations.

### Examples

Void.

## 6.5.17 DefaultBehaviour

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
DefaultBehaviour returns tdl::DefaultBehaviour:
    AnnotationFragment
    'default'
    ('on' guardedComponent=[tdl::ComponentInstance|Identifier])?
    block=Block
    WithBehaviourFragment?
;
```

### Comments

No comments.

### Examples

```
while [ "some expression" on client, "other expression" on server] {
    perform action:"query"
} with {
    Note: "Applies to combined behaviour"
    default {
        server::http sends "error" to client::http
        perform action:"reload"
    } with {
        Note: "Applies to default"
    }
    default on client {
        timeout on client::global
        perform action:"reload"
    }
}
```

## 6.5.18 InterruptBehaviour

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
InterruptBehaviour returns tdl::InterruptBehaviour:
    AnnotationFragment
    'interrupt'
    ('on' guardedComponent=[tdl::ComponentInstance|Identifier])?
    block=Block
    WithBehaviourFragment?
;
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```
while [ "some expression" on client, "other expression" on server] {
    perform action: "query"
} with {
    interrupt on client [ "some condition" ] {
        timeout on client::global
        perform action: "reload conditionally"
    }
}
```

## 6.5.19 PeriodicBehaviour

### Concrete Textual Notation

PeriodicBehaviour **returns** tdl::PeriodicBehaviour:  
 AnnotationFragment  
 'every'  
 (period+=LocalExpression (',' period+=LocalExpression)\*)  
 block=Block  
 WithBehaviourFragmant?  
;

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```
while [ "some expression" on client, "other expression" on server] {
    perform action: "query"
} with {
    every 5 {sec} [ "some expression" on client, "other expression" on server] {
        perform action: "reload"
    }
}
```

## 6.5.20 AtomicBehaviour

### Concrete Textual Notation

AtomicBehaviour **returns** tdl::AtomicBehaviour:  
 (TimerOperation  
 | TimeOperation  
 | Break | Stop  
 | VerdictAssignment | Assertion  
 | Interaction  
 | TestDescriptionReference  
 | ActionBehaviour  
 | Assignment)  
 WithAtomicFragment?  
;  
**fragment** AtomicPrefixFragment **returns** tdl::AtomicBehaviour:  
 ObjectiveFragment?  
 AnnotationCommentFragment  
; ;  
**fragment** WithAtomicFragment **returns** tdl::AtomicBehaviour:  
 'with'  
 BEGIN  
 TimeLabelFragment?  
 TimeConstraintFragment?

```

END
;

fragment TimeLabelFragment returns tdl::AtomicBehaviour:
    timeLabel=TimeLabel
;

fragment TimeConstraintFragment returns tdl::AtomicBehaviour:
    LBrace timeConstraint+=TimeConstraint ( ',' timeConstraint+=TimeConstraint)* RBrace
;

```

## Comments

The reusable fragments can be embedded in the concrete textual notation of metaclasses inheriting from this metaclass.

## Examples

**Objective:** CheckAuthToken

```

client::http sends new Post() to server::http
with {
    publicationTime=now
}

Objective: CheckAuthToken
server::http sends authToken to client::http

client::http sends parameter cleanPost to server::http

server::http sends "OK" to client::http
with {
cleanTime=now
    {{(@cleanTime-@publicationTime) <= 2 {sec}}}
}
```

## 6.5.21 Break

### Concrete Textual Notation

```

Break returns tdl::Break:
    {tdl::Break}
    AtomicPrefixFragment
    'break'
;
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```
break
```

## 6.5.22 Stop

### Concrete Textual Notation

```

Stop returns tdl::Stop:
    {tdl::Stop}
    AtomicPrefixFragment
    'terminate'
;
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

`terminate`

## 6.5.23 VerdictAssignment

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
VerdictAssignment returns tdl::VerdictAssignment:
    AtomicPrefixFragment
    'set' 'verdict' 'to' verdict=DataUse
;
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

`set verdict to fail`

## 6.5.24 Assertion

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
Assertion returns tdl::Assertion:
    {tdl::Assertion}
    AtomicPrefixFragment
    'assert' condition=DataUse
    ('on' componentInstance=[tdl::ComponentInstance|Identifier])?
    ('otherwise' otherwise=DataUse)?
;
```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```
assert (client::authToken==referenceToken)
assert (client::authToken==referenceToken) on client
assert (client::authToken=="101010") otherwise fail
```

## 6.5.25 Interaction

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
Interaction returns tdl::Interaction:
    Message | ReceiveMessage | ProcedureCall | ProcedureCallResponse
;
```

## Comments

This is an abstract metaclass, the textual representation depends on the concrete types indicated as alternative derivations.

## Examples

Void.

### 6.5.26 Message

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
Message returns tdl::Message:
    AtomicPrefixFragment
    sourceGate=[tdl::GateReference|GRIdentifier]
    ('sends' | (isTrigger?='triggers'))
    argument=DataUse
    'to' target+=TargetMessage (',' target+=TargetMessage)*
;

ReceiveMessage returns tdl::Message:
    AtomicPrefixFragment
    target+=ReceiveTargetMessage
    'receives' (isTrigger?='trigger')?
    argument=DataUse
    'from' sourceGate=[tdl::GateReference|GRIdentifier]
;
```

#### Comments

A 'ReceiveMessage' can be used as an alternative way to specify a 'Message' by switching the source and target gates. Only a single 'Target' can be specified when within a 'ReceiveMessage'. If multiple 'Target's need to be specified, the 'Message' derivation shall be used instead.

## Examples

**Note:** "Single target"  
server::http **sends new** Binary **to** client::http

**Note:** "Multiple targets"  
server::http **sends new** Binary **to** client::http, bridge::http

**Note:** "Single target assignment"  
server::http **sends new** Binary **to**  
client::http **where it is assigned to** authToken

**Note:** "Multiple targets with assignment"  
server::http **sends new** Binary **to**  
client::http **where it is assigned to** authToken,  
bridge::http **where it is assigned to** authToken

**Note:** "Alternative notation without assignment"  
client::http **receives new** Binary **from** server::http

**Note:** "Alternative notation with assignment"  
authToken = client::http **receives new** Binary **from** server::http

### 6.5.27 Target

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
TargetMessage returns tdl::Target:
    targetGate=[tdl::GateReference|GRIdentifier]
    (valueAssignment+=ValueAssignmentMessage)?
;

ReceiveTargetMessage returns tdl::Target:
    (valueAssignment+=ReceiveValueAssignmentMessage)?
    targetGate=[tdl::GateReference|GRIdentifier]
;
```

```
TargetProcedure returns tdl::Target:
  targetGate=[tdl::GateReference|GRIdentifier]
  (valueAssignment+=ValueAssignmentProcedure (',' valueAssignment+=ValueAssignmentProcedure)*)?
;
```

## Comments

The alternative derivations are used in the respective contexts.

'Annotation's and 'Comment's, as well as the 'name' property, are syntactically excluded from all concrete types.

## Examples

Void.

## 6.5.28 ValueAssignment

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
ValueAssignmentMessage returns tdl::ValueAssignment:
  'where' 'it' 'is'
  'assigned' 'to' variable=[tdl::Variable|Identifier]
;

ReceiveValueAssignmentMessage returns tdl::ValueAssignment:
  variable=[tdl::Variable|Identifier] '='
;

ValueAssignmentProcedure returns tdl::ValueAssignment:
  'where' parameter=[tdl::Parameter|Identifier] 'is'
  'assigned' 'to' variable=[tdl::Variable|Identifier]
;
```

## Comments

The alternative derivations are used in the respective contexts.

'Annotation's and 'Comment's, as well as the 'name' property, are syntactically excluded from all concrete types.

## Examples

```
where it is assigned to authToken
where postId is assigned to lastPostId
```

## 6.5.29 ProcedureCall

### Concrete Textual Notation

```
ProcedureCall returns tdl::ProcedureCall:
  AtomicPrefixFragment
  (name=Identifier ':')?
  sourceGate=[tdl::GateReference|GRIdentifier]
  'calls' signature=[tdl::ProcedureSignature|Identifier]
  LParen argument+=ParameterBinding (',' argument+=ParameterBinding)* RParen
  'on' target+=TargetProcedure
;

ProcedureCallResponse returns tdl::ProcedureCall:
  AtomicPrefixFragment
  (replyTo=[tdl::ProcedureCall|Identifier] ':')?
  sourceGate=[tdl::GateReference|GRIdentifier]
  'responds' 'with' signature=[tdl::ProcedureSignature|Identifier]
  LParen argument+=ParameterBinding (',' argument+=ParameterBinding)* RParen
  'to' target+=TargetProcedure
;
```

## Comments

A 'ProcedureCallResponse' shall be used to specify a response after a 'ProcedureCall'. The 'ProcedureCall' shall be specified with an assigned 'name'-property within the 'WithCombinedFragment'.

## Examples

```

publishCall: client::rpc calls publish(post=firstReport) on server::rpc
publishCall: server::rpc responds with publish(postId=1) to client::rpc
publishCall: server::rpc responds with publish(postId=1) to client::rpc
    where postId is assigned to lastPostId

```

## 6.5.30 TestDescriptionReference

### Concrete Textual Notation

```

TestDescriptionReference returns tdl::TestDescriptionReference:
    AtomicPrefixFragment
    'execute' testDescription=[tdl::TestDescription|Identifier]
    (LParen argument+=ParameterBinding ( |) argument+=ParameterBinding)* RParen )?
    {BEGIN
        componentInstanceBinding+=ComponentInstanceBinding
        ( |) componentInstanceBinding+=ComponentInstanceBinding)*
    END)?
;

```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```

execute publishAll
execute publishClean(
    cleanPost = new Post<?>(title = "Cleaner post"),
    authRequest = "00111001"
)
execute publishClean(
    cleanPost = new Post<?>(title = "Cleanest post"),
    authRequest = parameter authRequest
) {
    client -> client,
    server -> server
}

```

## 6.5.31 ComponentInstanceBinding

### Concrete Textual Notation

```

ComponentInstanceBinding returns tdl::ComponentInstanceBinding:
    AnnotationCommentFragment
    formalComponent=[tdl::ComponentInstance||Identifier]
    '->' actualComponent=[tdl::ComponentInstance||Identifier]
;

```

## Comments

No comments.

## Examples

```

client -> webClient
server -> webServer

```

### 6.5.32 ActionBehaviour

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
ActionBehaviour returns tdl::ActionBehaviour:
  ActionReference | InlineAction
;
```

#### Comments

This is an abstract metaclass, the textual representation depends on the concrete types indicated as alternative derivations.

#### Examples

Void.

### 6.5.33 ActionReference

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
ActionReference returns tdl::ActionReference:
  AtomicPrefixFragment
  'perform' action=[tdl::Action|Identifier]
  (LParen argument+=ParameterBinding (',' argument+=ParameterBinding)* RParen)?
  ('on' componentInstance=[tdl::ComponentInstance|Identifier])?
;
```

#### Comments

No comments.

#### Examples

```
perform reset
perform reset on server
perform reload(posts = allPosts) on server
```

### 6.5.34 InlineAction

#### Concrete Textual Notation

```
InlineAction returns tdl::InlineAction:
  AtomicPrefixFragment
  'perform' 'action' ':' body=EString
  ('on' componentInstance=[tdl::ComponentInstance|Identifier])?
;
```

#### Comments

No comments.

#### Examples

```
perform action : "Call administrator"
perform action : "Call administrator" on client
```

## 6.5.35 Assignment

### Concrete Textual Notation

Assignment **returns** *tdl::Assignment*:

  AtomicPrefixFragment  
  variable=VariableUse  
  '**=**' expression=DataUse  
;

### Comments

No comments.

### Examples

```
client::authToken = "101010"  
client::authToken = instance returned from generateToken(seed = 12)  
client::authToken = generateToken(seed = 12)
```

---

## Annex A (informative): Technical Representation of the Complete Textual Syntax

The technical representation of the complete specification of the textual syntax is available in the TDL Open Source Project (TOP) [i.4]. The technical representation also includes the specification of the textual syntax for the Structured Test Objective [i.5] and Extended Test Configurations [i.6] TDL extensions.

## Annex B (informative): Examples

### B.0 Overview

This annex provides several examples to illustrate how the different elements of the TDL Textual Syntax can be used and demonstrates the applicability of TDL in several different areas.

The first example in clause B.1 demonstrates the usage of data-related concepts. It showcases the indentation-based syntax variant.

The second example in clause B.2 shows a scenario when a 'Tester' performs a test scenario on one interface of the 'SUT'. The example is taken from ETSI TS 136 523-1 [i.2]. It showcases the brace-based syntax variant.

The third example in clause B.3 provides an example for interoperability testing in IMS. The example is taken from ETSI TS 186 011-2 [i.3]. It is illustrated by means of the indentation-based syntax.

The examples are also available online as part of the TDL Open-Source Project (TOP) [i.4], both using the brace-based and indentation-based variants of the syntax.

### B.1 Illustration of Data Use

This example describes some of the concepts related to data and data mapping in TDL by means of the TDL Textual Syntax. It illustrates how data instances can be parameterized, mapped to concrete data entities specified in an external resource, e.g. a TTCN-3 file, or to a runtime URI where dynamic concrete data values might be stored by the execution environment during runtime in order to facilitate some basic data flow of dynamic values between different interactions. The example considers a scenario where the SUT is required to generate and maintain a session ID between subsequent interactions using an example test configuration, and an alternative realization where data flow is expressed with variables.

```
/*
Copyright (c) ETSI 2022.
```

This software is subject to copyrights owned by ETSI. Non-exclusive permission is hereby granted, free of charge, to copy, reproduce and amend this file under the following conditions: It is provided "as is", without warranty of any kind, expressed or implied.

ETSI shall never be liable for any claim, damages, or other liability arising from its use or inability of use. This permission does not apply to any documentation associated with this file for which ETSI keeps all rights reserved. The present copyright notice shall be included in all copies of whole or part of this file and shall not imply any sub-license right.

```
*/
```

```
//A manually constructed example illustrating the data mapping concepts
Package DataExample
  //User-defined verdicts
  //Alternatively the predefined verdicts may be used as well
  Type Verdict
  Verdict PASS
  Verdict FAIL

  //Test objectives
  Objective CHECK_SESSION_ID_IS_MAINTAINED
    Description: "Check whether the session id is maintained after the first response."

  //Data definitions
  Type SESSION_ID
  SESSION_ID SESSION_ID_1
  SESSION_ID SESSION_ID_2
```

```

Structure MSG (
    optional SESSION_ID session
)
MSG REQUEST_SESSION_ID (
    session = omit
)
MSG RESPONSE (
    session = ?
)
MSG MESSAGE (
    session = ?
)

//Data mappings
//Load resource.ttcn3
Use "resource.ttcn3" as TTCN_MAPPING
Map MSG to "record_message" in TTCN_MAPPING as MSG_mapping
    session -> "session_id"
Map REQUEST_SESSION_ID to "template_message_request" in TTCN_MAPPING as REQUEST_mapping
Map RESPONSE to "template_response" in TTCN_MAPPING as RESPONSE_mapping
Map MESSAGE to "template_message" in TTCN_MAPPING as MESSAGE_mapping

//Map types and instances to TTCN-3 records and templates, respectively
//(located in the used TTCN-3 file)
Use "runtime://sessions/" as RUNTIME_MAPPING
//Map session ID data instances to locations within the runtime URI
Map SESSION_ID_1 to "id_1" in RUNTIME_MAPPING as SESSION_ID_1_mapping
Map SESSION_ID_2 to "id_2" in RUNTIME_MAPPING as SESSION_ID_2_mapping

//Gate type definitions
Message Gate defaultGT accepts MSG

//Component type definitions
Component defaultCT
    gate defaultGT g

//Test configuration definition
Configuration defaultTC
    defaultCT UE as SUT,
    defaultCT SS as Tester,
    connect SS::g to UE::g

//Test description definition
Test Description exampleTD uses defaultTC
    Note : "Tester requests a session id"
    SS::g sends REQUEST_SESSION_ID to UE::g
    Note : "SUT responds with a session id that is assigned to the URI
        provided by the execution environment"
    UE::g sends RESPONSE ( session = SESSION_ID_1 ) to SS::g
    Note : "Tester sends a message with the session id from the runtime URI"
    SS::g sends MESSAGE ( session = SESSION_ID_1 ) to UE::g
    alternatively
        Note : "SUT responds with the same session id"
        UE::g sends RESPONSE ( session = SESSION_ID_1 ) to SS::g
        set verdict to PASS
    or
        Note : "SUT responds with a new session id"
        UE::g sends RESPONSE ( session = SESSION_ID_2 ) to SS::g
        set verdict to FAIL
    with
        Objective: CHECK_SESSION_ID_IS_MAINTAINED

//Alternative approach with variables

//Component type definitions
Component defaultCTwithVariable
    variable MSG v
    gate defaultGT g

//Test configuration definition
Configuration defaultTCwithVariables
    defaultCT UE as SUT,
    defaultCTwithVariable SS as Tester,

```

**connect SS::g to UE::g**

**Test Description** exampleTD **uses** defaultTCwithVariables

**Note** : "Tester requests a session id"

SS::g **sends** REQUEST\_SESSION\_ID **to** UE::g

**Note** : "SUT responds with a session id that is assigned to the URI provided by the execution environment"

UE::g **sends** RESPONSE **to** SS::g where it is assigned to v

**Note** : "Tester sends a message with the session id from the runtime URI"

SS::g **sends** MESSAGE ( session = SS::v.session ) **to** UE::g

**alternatively**

**Note** : "SUT responds with the same session id"

UE::g **sends** RESPONSE ( session = SS::v.session ) **to** SS::g

**set verdict to PASS**

**or**

**Note** : "SUT responds with a new session id"

UE::g **sends** RESPONSE **to** SS::g

**set verdict to FAIL**

**with**

**Objective:** CHECK\_SESSION\_ID\_IS\_MAINTAINED

---

## B.2 Interface Testing

This example describes one possible way to translate clause 7.1.3.1 from ETSI TS 136 523-1 [i.2] into the brace-based variant of the TDL Textual Syntax, by mapping the concepts from the representation in the source document to the corresponding concepts in the TDL meta-model. The example has been enriched with additional information, such as explicit data definitions and test configuration details for completeness where applicable.

```
/*
Copyright (c) ETSI 2022.
```

This software is subject to copyrights owned by ETSI. Non-exclusive permission is hereby granted, free of charge, to copy, reproduce and amend this file under the following conditions: It is provided "as is", without warranty of any kind, expressed or implied.

ETSI shall never be liable for any claim, damages, or other liability arising from its use or inability of use. This permission does not apply to any documentation associated with this file for which ETSI keeps all rights reserved. The present copyright notice shall be included in all copies of whole or part of this file and shall not imply any sub-license right.

```
*/
```

//Translated from ETSI TS 136 523-1 [i.2], clause 7.1.3.

**Note** : "Taken from ETSI TS 136 523-1 [i.2] V10.2.0 (2012-09)"  
**@TITLE** : "Correct handling of DL assignment / Dynamic case"  
**Package** Layer\_2\_DL\_SCH\_Data\_Transfer {  
 //Procedures carried out by a component of a test configuration  
 //or an actor during test execution  
**Action** preCondition : "Pre-test Conditions:  
 RRC Connection Reconfiguration"  
**Action** preamble : "Preamble:  
 The generic procedure to get UE in test state Loopback  
 Activated (State 4) according to ETSI TS 136 508 [i.10] clause 4.5  
 is executed, with all the parameters as specified in the  
 procedure except that the RLC SDU size is set to return no  
 data in uplink.  
 (reference corresponding behavior once implemented)"

//User-defined verdicts  
//Alternatively the predefined verdicts may be used as well  
**Type** Verdict  
Verdict PASS  
Verdict FAIL

//User-defined annotation types  
**Annotation** TITLE //Test description title  
**Annotation** STEP //Step identifiers in source documents

```
Annotation PROCEDURE //Informal textual description of a test step
Annotation PRECONDITION //Identify pre-condition behaviour
Annotation PREAMBLE //Identify preamble behaviour.
```

//Test objectives (copied verbatim from source document)

```
Objective TP1 {
  Description: "
    with {
      UE in E-UTRA RRC_CONNECTED state
    }
    ensure that {
      when {
        UE receives downlink assignment on the PDCCH
        for the UE's C-RNTI and receives data in the
        associated subframe and UE performs HARQ
        operation
      }
      then {
        UE sends a HARQ feedback on the HARQ process
      }
    }
  "
  References: "36523-1-a20_s07_01.doc::7.1.3.1.1 (1)"
}

Objective TP2 {
  Description: "
    with {
      UE in E-UTRA RRC_CONNECTED state
    }
    ensure that {
      when {
        UE receives downlink assignment on the PDCCH
        with a C-RNTI unknown by the UE and data is
        available in the associated subframe
      }
      then {
        UE does not send any HARQ feedback on the
        HARQ process
      }
    }
  "
  References: "36523-1-a20_s07_01.doc::7.1.3.1.1 (2)"
}
```

//Relevant data definitions

```
Type PDU
PDU mac_pdu
Type ACK
ACK harq_ack
Type C_RNTI
C_RNTI_ue
C_RNTI_unknown
Structure PDCCH (
  optional C_RNTI c_rnti
)
PDCCH pdcch()
```

//User-defined time units

```
Time sec
```

//Gate type definitions

```
Message Gate defaultGT accepts ACK,PDU,PDCCH,C_RNTI
```

//Component type definitions

```
Component defaultCT {
  gate defaultGT g
}
```

//Test configuration definition

```
Configuration defaultTC {
  defaultCT SystemSimulator as Tester,
  defaultCT UserEquipment as SUT,
  connect UE=UserEquipment:g to SS=SystemSimulator:g
}
```

```

//Test description definition
Test Description TD_7_1_3_1 uses defaultTC {
    //Pre-conditions and preamble from the source document
    @PRECONDITION
    perform preCondition
    @PREAMBLE
    perform preamble

    //Test sequence
    @STEP : "1"
    @PROCEDURE : "SS transmits a downlink assignment
        including the C-RNTI assigned to
        the UE"
    SS sends pdccch ( c_rnti = ue ) to UE
    @STEP : "2"
    @PROCEDURE : "SS transmits in the indicated
        downlink assignment a RLC PDU in
        a MAC PDU"
    SS sends mac_pdu to UE
    Objective: TP1
    @STEP : "3"
    @PROCEDURE : "Check: Does the UE transmit an
        HARQ ACK on PUCCH?"
    UE sends harq_ack to SS
    set verdict to PASS
    @STEP : "4"
    @PROCEDURE : "SS transmits a downlink assignment
        to including a C-RNTI different from
        the assigned to the UE"
    SS sends pdccch ( c_rnti = unknown ) to UE
    @STEP : "5"
    @PROCEDURE : "SS transmits in the indicated
        downlink assignment a RLC PDU in
        a MAC PDU"
    SS sends mac_pdu to UE

    //Interpolated original step 6 into an alternative behaviour,
    //covering both the incorrect and the correct behaviours of the UE
    @STEP : "6"
    @PROCEDURE : "Check: Does the UE send any HARQ ACK
        on PUCCH?"
    alternatively {
        UE sends harq_ack to SS
        set verdict to FAIL
    } or {
        quiet for 5 {sec} on gate SS
        set verdict to PASS
    } with {
        Objective: TP2
    }
} with {
    Note : "Note 1: For TDD, the timing of ACK/NACK is not
        constant as FDD, see Table 10.1-1 of ETSI TS 136 213 [i.9]."
}
}

```

## B.3 Interoperability Testing

This example describes one possible way to translate clause 4.5.1 from ETSI TS 186 011-2 [i.3] into the TDL Textual Syntax, by mapping the concepts from the representation in the source document to the corresponding concepts in the TDL meta-model. The example has been enriched with additional information, such as explicit data definitions and test configuration details for completeness where applicable.

```
/*
Copyright (c) ETSI 2022.
```

This software is subject to copyrights owned by ETSI. Non-exclusive permission is hereby granted, free of charge, to copy, reproduce and amend this file under the following conditions: It is provided "as is", without warranty of any kind, expressed or implied.

ETSI shall never be liable for any claim, damages, or other liability arising from its use or inability of use. This permission does not apply to any documentation associated with this file for which ETSI keeps all rights reserved. The present copyright notice shall be included in all copies of whole or part of this file and shall not imply any sub-license right.

```
*/
```

```
//Translated from ETSI TS 186 011-2 [i.3], clause 4.5.1.
```

```
Note : "Taken from ETSI TS 186 011-2 [i.3] V3.1.1 (2011-06)"
```

```
@TITLE : "SIP messages longer than 1 500 bytes"
```

```
Package IMS_NNI_General_Capabilities
```

```
//Procedures carried out by a component of a test configuration
```

```
//or an actor during test execution
```

```
Action preConditions : "Pre-test conditions:
```

- HSS of IMS\_A and of IMS\_B is configured according to table 1
- UE\_A and UE\_B have IP bearers established to their respective IMS networks as per clause 4.2.1
- UE\_A and IMS\_A configured to use TCP for transport
- UE\_A is registered in IMS\_A using any user identity
- UE\_B is registered user of IMS\_B using any user identity
- MESSAGE request and response has to be supported at II-NNI  
(ETSI TS 129 165 [i.7]  
see tables 6.1 and 6.3)"

```
//User-defined verdicts
```

```
//Alternatively the predefined verdicts may be used as well
```

```
Type Verdict
```

```
Verdict PASS
```

```
Verdict FAIL
```

```
//User-defined annotation types
```

```
Annotation TITLE //Test description title
```

```
Annotation STEP //Step identifiers in source documents
```

```
Annotation PROCEDURE //Informal textual description of a test step
```

```
Annotation PRECONDITION //Identify pre-condition behaviour
```

```
Annotation PREAMBLE //Identify preamble behaviour.
```

```
Annotation SUMMARY //Informal textual description of test sequence
```

```
//Test objectives (copied verbatim from source document)
```

```
Objective TP_IMS_4002_1
```

```
Description: "ensure that {
```

```
when { UE_A sends a MESSAGE to UE_B
      containing a Message_Body greater than 1 300
      bytes }
then { IMS_B receives the MESSAGE containing the
      Message_Body greater than 1 300 bytes }
}"
```

```
References: "ts_18601102v030101p.pdf::4.5.1.1 (CC 1)"
,"ETSI TS 124 229 [i.8], clause 4.2A, paragraph 1"
```

```
Objective UC_05_1
```

```
References: "ts_18601102v030101p.pdf::4.4.4.2"
```

```
//Relevant data definitions
```

```
Structure MSG (
```

```
  optional CONTENT TCP
```

```
)
```

```
MSG MESSAGE ( )
```

```

MSG DING()
MSG DELIVERY_REPORT()
MSG M_200_OK()
Type CONTENT
CONTENT tcp
Time SECONDS
SECONDS default_timeout

//Gate type definitions.
Message Gate defaultGT accepts MSG,CONTENT

//Component type definitions
//In this case they may also be reduced to a single component type
Component USER
  gate defaultGT g
Component UE
  gate defaultGT g
Component IMS
  gate defaultGT g
Component IBCF
  gate defaultGT g

//Test configuration definition
Configuration CF_INT_CALL
  USER USER_A as Tester,
  UE UE_A as Tester,
  IMS IMS_A as Tester,
  IBCF IBCF_A as Tester,
  IBCF IBCF_B as Tester,
  IMS IMS_B as SUT,
  UE UE_B as Tester,
  USER USER_B as Tester,
  connect USER_A::g to UE_A::g,
  connect UE_A::g to IMS_A::g,
  connect IMS_A::g to IBCF_A::g,
  connect IBCF_A::g to IBCF_B::g,
  connect IBCF_B::g to IMS_B::g,
  connect IMS_B::g to UE_B::g,
  connect UE_B::g to USER_B::g

//Test description definition
Test Description TD_IMS_MESS_0001 uses CF_INT_CALL
@SUMMARY : "IMS network shall support SIP messages greater than
           1 500 bytes"
//Pre-conditions from the source document
@PRECONDITION
perform preConditions

//Test sequence
@STEP : "1"
USER_A::g sends MESSAGE to UE_A::g
@STEP : "2"
UE_A::g sends MESSAGE to IMS_A::g
@STEP : "3"
IMS_A::g sends MESSAGE to IBCF_A::g
@STEP : "4"
IBCF_A::g sends MESSAGE to IBCF_B::g
@STEP : "5"
IBCF_B::g sends MESSAGE ( TCP = tcp ) to IMS_B::g
@STEP : "6"
IMS_B::g sends MESSAGE to UE_B::g
@STEP : "7"
UE_B::g sends DING to USER_B::g
@STEP : "8"
UE_B::g sends M_200_OK to IMS_B::g
@STEP : "9"
IMS_B::g sends M_200_OK to IBCF_B::g
@STEP : "10"
IBCF_B::g sends M_200_OK to IBCF_A::g
@STEP : "11"
IBCF_A::g sends M_200_OK to IMS_A::g
@STEP : "12"
IMS_A::g sends M_200_OK to UE_A::g

```

alternatively  
  @STEP : "13"  
  UE\_A::g sends DELIVERY\_REPORT to USER\_A::g  
or  
  quiet for default\_timeout on gate USER\_A::g

---

## History

<b>Document history</b>		
V1.1.1	May 2022	Publication
V1.2.1	August 2023	Membership Approval Procedure MV 20231023: 2023-08-24 to 2023-10-23
V1.2.1	October 2023	Publication